UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

HONORABLE NANCY G. EDMUNDS

V.

No. 21-cr-20285

LYNNIE ROBERSON,

Defendant.

MOTION HEARING

Detroit, Michigan - Thursday, June 30, 2022

Appearances:

Jerimiah Smith
United States Attorney's Office
Eastern District of Michigan
211 W. Fort, Suite 2100
Detroit, MI 48226
Email: jerimiah.smith@usdoj.gov
On behalf of Plaintiff

Maria Mannarino 500 Griswold Street, Suite 2430 Detroit, MI 48226 Email: mmannarino@comcast.net On behalf of Defendant

Suzanne Jacques, Official Court Reporter email: jacques@transcriptorders.com

Motion Hearing Thursday, June 30, 2022

I N D E X

- - -

<u>Proceeding</u>	<u>Page</u>
Proceeding	Page
Motion to Exclude Expert Testimony	
Proffer by Mr. Smith	27
Response by Ms. Mannarino	28
Rebuttal by Mr. Smith	29
Response by Ms. Mannarino	30
Motion Re: 404(b) Evidence	
Comment by Ms. Mannarino	31
Response by Mr. Smith	31
Government's Case in Chief	<u>Page</u>
Witness	
Joseph Nether	
Direct Examination By Mr. Smith	5
Certificate of Court Reporter	33

Motion Hearing Thursday, June 30, 2022 1 Detroit, Michigan 2 Thursday, June 30, 2022 3 1:30 p.m. 4 5 THE CLERK: Court calls 21-20285, United States 6 of America vs. Lynnie Roberson. 7 Counsel, state your appearances for the record. 8 MR. SMITH: Good morning Your Honor. Jerimiah 9 Smith on behalf of the United States. 10 MS. MANNARINO: And good morning. Maria 11 Mannarino on behalf of Mr. Lynnie Roberson, who is present 12 and stands to my left. 1.3 THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. Be seated please. 14 15 You're welcome to remain seated when you address 16 the Court. If it's easier for you to do that, it's fine. 17 I know some people prefer to speak from the podium, and 18 that's fine, too, just as long as you can use a mic. 19 And I think my law clerk has told you, anyone who 20 is vaccinated is welcome to take off their mask. 2.1 We have two motions up this morning. Ms. 2.2. Mannarino, I believe they're both your motions. Motion in 23 limine to exclude proposed 404(b) evidence, and a motion 24 to exclude expert testimony and for a Daubert hearing.

Let's start with 404(b).

	Joseph Nether - Direct Examination Thursday, June 30, 2022
1	MS. MANNARINO: At the Court's pleasure, but we
2	did discuss whether or not we could do the other motion
3	first, in order to accommodate the witness.
4	THE COURT: That's fine. Go ahead.
5	MR. SMITH: I defer to you, ma'am. Your motion,
6	as the Court indicated.
7	Your Honor, I'm prepared to call ATF Special
8	Agent Joe Nether to elicit testimony to lay the foundation
9	for his basis in expertise in narcotics trafficking.
10	THE COURT: That's fine.
11	MR. SMITH: Thank you. The government calls
12	Special Agent Joe Nether.
13	THE COURT: Raise your right hand, please.
14	Do you solemnly swear that the testimony you're
15	about to give in the matter here pending will be the
16	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help
17	you God?
18	THE WITNESS: I do.
19	COURT REPORTER: Spell your name, please.
20	THE WITNESS: Joseph, J-o-s-e-p-h; Nether,
21	N-e-t-h-e-r.
22	MR. SMITH: May I proceed, Your Honor?
23	THE COURT: You may.
24	

DIRECT EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. SMITH:

1

- Q Agent Nether, where are you employed?
- 4 A I'm employed as a supervisory special agent with the
- 5 | Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives.
- 6 Q Commonly referred to as the ATF, sir?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q How long have you been with ATF?
- 9 A Since the year 2001.
- 10 Q You mention that you're currently a supervisor. What are
- 11 your day-to-day responsibilities in that job?
- 12 A As a supervisor, I run a team of approximately six to
- 13 | seven people that work their investigations typically on the
- 14 west side of Detroit. So we do anything from investigating
- 15 | violent street gangs, to armed drug dealers, to shooters, by
- 16 doing CI buys, search warrants, things of that sort.
- 17 | Q Special Agent Nether, prior to being in this supervisory
- 18 position, what type of investigations were you conducting for
- 19 the ATF?
- 20 A Prior to being a supervisor, my main type of
- 21 investigations involved racketeering cases against violent
- 22 | street gangs in and around the City of Detroit, armed drug
- 23 dealers, drug dealers that robbed other drug dealers, armed
- 24 | robbery crews. I worked murder for hire investigations, just
- 25 simple drug organizations, violent felons that possessed guns.

- 2 Before we get into some of those specifics, I want to take 2 a step back then. What if any formal education do you have,
- 4 A I have a bachelor's degree from the University of Detroit Mercy.

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

23

24

25

sir?

- Q You mentioned some of the investigations that you've been part of. I think it's fair to say that we all know today we're here to talk about potential narcotics trafficking, as well as the use of firearms involved in alleged narcotics trafficking. So let me ask you this, sir, what specific training have you received as an ATF agent in the areas of investigating narcotics trafficking?
 - A Well, every agent, to include myself, does receive basic narcotics and firearms training in the ATF Academy. I've also gone on to go to a firearms trafficking school. Soon after I got out of the academy, a couple years in getting out of the academy, I've also been to a basic identification of narcotics school for several days. I've also been in another narcotics identification class when I was a member of the enhanced undercover program. And then, in addition to that, obviously, real world experience which I believe is more important than what I learned in the classroom.
- Q Before we get into that real world experience, let's talk a little more about some of the training that you just mentioned.

These narcotics, specifically, schools, when you're going through this program, are you instructed on the importance of things such as the weight of narcotics that you might be dealing with?

A Yes.

1.3

2.2.

Q Why is that important to you as an investigator?

A Well, the importance when it comes to the weights are to be able to distinguish when you're dealing with somebody who is a user of narcotics as opposed to somebody who is a distributor of narcotics, because if you're in a undercover capacity, which a lot of these trainings that I've gone to and taught at, we are trying to portray ourselves a certain way, so you have to understand the different weights; when something is considered personal, when something is considered distribution level, and even how to break that distribution level down to sales on the street.

So it's something where you have to learn all aspects of narcotics trafficking, from the user, to the person who is actually trafficking in narcotics.

Q Let me follow up on what you just mentioned there. You indicated that even for street level trafficking you have to understand how those weights can break down. How do you differentiate between, say, a low level dealer versus maybe a mid level street dealer, to someone who is much higher up in a narcotics trafficking organization?

A A lot of times you can figure that out just, I mean, a weight is a big determining factor, and how much the person is actually trafficking.

A lot of the times, my job function was to actually meet with narcotics traffickers and have conversations with these narcotics traffickers, so I was able, based on conversations and based on what I was purchasing, able to determine where they would fit in that scheme of whether or not they're low, mid or high level, because I've purchased anything from pretending to be a user of narcotics, to being a mid level trafficker or middle man, and I've also portrayed myself as a large scale distributor dealing with kilograms of cocaine.

- Q Again, before we get into that specific experience, I want to touch on one more piece of your training. You mentioned that you have been part of the enhanced undercover program, is that correct, sir?
- 17 | A That's correct.

1.3

2.2.

- 18 Q What type of training did you receive from that program
 19 that would be relevant to our discussions today?
 - A So I was in that program from 2016 to 2018. Prior to being a member of that program, I went to an advanced undercover school where all of the, I guess, top undercover agents from the country would come in to teach that school, and they would put us through different scenarios. Each scenario -- well, most of the scenarios were related to a drug

1.3

2.2.

Joseph Nether - Direct Examination Thursday, June 30, 2022

dealer that had a firearm, and how to purchase narcotics from that drug dealer or from a narcotics -- or from a firearms trafficker and how to purchase those narcotics -- or that firearm from that trafficker.

Based on the individuals that were teaching that school, they would actually set up the scenarios based on actual events that they participated in, deals that they actually did. So every scenario that they would put us through would actually be a real scenario.

Once you complete that advanced undercover school, you are then given the opportunity to apply for the enhanced undercover program, which I did. And again, they put you through more scenarios, they ask you a ton of questions. They put you in a room where they have three experienced undercover agents just fire questions as you, see how you'll handle them.

And then typically, I mean, they're -- I think when I went through there were probably about 20 people that applied, and only three people made it through. I was one of those people.

When you answer the questions appropriately, that's when you're given the opportunity to be in the program. Then, once you're in the program, you are still required to go through a training once a year where you again discuss narcotics trafficking, firearms trafficking, the different

1.3

2.2.

Joseph Nether - Direct Examination Thursday, June 30, 2022

types of methods utilized by different drug traffickers, firearms traffickers. It's to keep you up to date on what's going on.

And you're meeting with individuals from around the country. At any time, there's probably 25 to 30 different agents that are in this program in different divisions around the country, so you are learning the different methods that are happening in all these different states and divisions, and that's something that, for the most part, we went through every year. There were a couple that were missed based on funding, but I've participated in several of those trainings.

Q Would it be fair to say that after your practical experience working as an undercover officer that you've also now instructed at some of these schools?

A Yes, I've been instructing for years now at the Advanced Undercover School, which is where, like I mentioned, the school prior to you getting into the undercover program. It's the school where — it's individuals that are already doing undercover work, but we then go in and teach them and view them doing scenarios, and critique them on different things that they could do. And I, myself, have also come up with scenarios based on real events that have taken place in my work to put them through those scenarios.

So yes, I've been doing that training for -- I've been training definitely for over a decade.

- Q Why, then, based on your experience as a student, as well now as an instructor, are these scenarios involving narcotics
- 3 trafficking consistently also involving firearms?
- A Because typically any narcotics trafficker that we are investigating, they typically have firearms, and if they did
- 6 not have firearms, we would not be investigating them.
- Q During the course of these trainings, did you learn certain methods that you've applied in these undercover
- 9 operations?
- 10 A Absolutely.
- 11 Q Do you learn things like how to conduct an undercover buy
 12 of narcotics?
- 13 A Yes, you learn what to say, the different terminology, the 14 prices that you should pay for certain weights of narcotics.
- 15 You -- because you're speaking with agents that are doing
- 16 things in other divisions, so we're able to bounce ideas off of
- 17 | each other. I'm able to explain what I've seen, they're able
- 18 to explain what they've seen. So it's a way for us to stay on
- 19 | top of what's happening when it comes to the world of narcotics
- 20 trafficking or armed narcotics trafficking.
- And obviously, in addition to that, it's, you
 know, we speak with our informants, as well, to make sure we're
 up on what's going on in the areas that we work.
- Q I'll ask you to expound on that briefly. When you speak with an informant, what do you learn from them that might be

1 | relevant to why we're here today?

1.3

2.2.

A So sometimes, you know, prices change, sometimes the method in which — and how people conceal narcotics change, the different types of firearms that people sometimes would traffic, a popular gun or the type of gun that would be used for narcotics trafficking. I mean, sometimes those things would change not too much, but those will be things that we would debrief an informant on during each investigation once an informant tells us about somebody who is allegedly involved in narcotics trafficking just so we are up to date and making sure that when we're investigating it that we're not doing anything that would — or that we would be doing things consistent with how that person is operating.

Q I'll ask you, then, a hypothetical. For example, would a debrief include something along the lines of how much currently a heroin user is buying a half a gram of heroin for in the greater Detroit area?

A So it could, because a lot of the times when we are dealing with informants and we're having the informants make the buy, we are typically having the informants buy user amounts, and they're not typically portraying a drug trafficker. It happens, but the majority of the time it's going to be on a user level, and the reason that is, a lot of informants that we are using are either former users of narcotics or currently users of narcotics, and so that's kind

of the position that they're in.

1.3

2.2

The times where we would -- the majority of the times where we would then start purchasing more of -- more of the trafficking level, or portraying a drug dealer, we would at that point, not all the time, but typically we would have the informant introduce an undercover, and that undercover would try to purchase more narcotics to look more like they were on the distribution level.

Q Thank you. Now let's talk about some of your specific experience with buying these. Would it be fair to say that you've been assigned to specific identifiable units that have investigated low to mid level drug traffickers?

A Yes. Shortly after coming out of the academy, I was assigned to the Downriver Area Narcotics Organization. I did that for approximately two and-a-half years starting in 2002.

I was also on another task force that also dealt with low level to mid level narcotics traffickers called the CAT team out of Washtenaw County which was the Community Action Team. I was actually on the ground level and helped create that task force. That's still in existence today.

- Q And the DRANO, the Downriver team you mentioned, that's one of the Michigan State Police multi-jurisdictional task forces, is that correct?
- A That's correct.
- 25 Q That was from approximately 2003 to 2005?

- 1 A Yes, it spanned about two and-a-half years.
- 2 | Q When you were operating in that capacity, Special Agent
- 3 Nether, did you personally conduct undercover buys of
- 4 controlled substances?
- 5 | A I did.
- 6 Q When you were operating in that capacity, did you also
- 7 versee, as a supervisory agent, controlled purchases by
- 8 | confidential informants?
- 9 A Not as a supervisor role, but I participated as a team
- 10 member that oversaw CI buys and UC buys of narcotics.
- 11 | Q Were you involved in the debriefing of users of controlled
- 12 | substances?
- 13 **A** Yes.
- 14 Q Were you also experienced, or did you receive experience
- 15 \parallel as a result of your investigations, in interviewing low to mid
- 16 level narcotics traffickers either prior or post their arrest?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 | Q Also during the course of these investigations did you
- 19 assist or lead, yourself as an officer in charge of a case, in
- 20 | search warrants related to these investigations of low to mid
- 21 level dealers?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Turning your attention, then, to the CAT team you
- 24 | mentioned in Washtenaw County. Did you have any experience
- 25 when you were in that position with undercover purchases?

A Yes.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q What types of drugs do you recall did you purchase when you were in Washtenaw County?
- A Crack cocaine, cocaine, heroin, ecstasy, marijuana. Those
 are the different types of narcotics that I would personally

purchase when I was a member of that team.

- Q Let's talk about, again, that experience with any kind of confidential informants and controlled purchases within -- did you have experience with that with the CAT team?
- A Yes. When I was involved with the CAT team, I did obtain an undercover house, I wired up the house with video and recording equipment and moved two confidential informants into this house in the West Willow area of Ypsilanti, where the informants on a daily basis would meet with both users and dealers and record all of their interactions, and at times make purchases from them of guns and drugs.
- Q As a result of this specific investigation, did you learn information such as the importance of prices and how much users were buying, what was an average use amount, things of that nature?
- A Absolutely.
- Q Let's transition then to your experience in the Enhanced
 Undercover Program for the ATF. Did you operate in an
 undercover capacity and purchase narcotics while acting in that
 role?

A Yes.

1.3

2.2.

Q Can you outline for the Court some of your experience in the purchase of narcotics while operating under the EUP?

A So some of the cases that I've been involved in as a member of the EUP, Enhanced Undercover Program, I've worked in multiple storefront locations, specifically like smoke shops, running it as a business, working six to eight hours a day, where on a daily basis I would be speaking with drug users, drug dealers, gun traffickers, in different states. One was in Portland, one was in Georgia.

I actually operated a barber shop in the City of Detroit on the west side of the city where, again, daily, I would be speaking for hours on end with different users, dealers, gun traffickers. We would make purchases two to three times a day, sometimes more. I've also been tasked with going to California in the Stockton, California area for four months, where I did undercover, where I was part of a team of undercover agents where we made six to eight purchases of drugs and guns a day. I would specifically be responsible for probably about two buys every single day, Monday through Friday.

I was in a storefront that was a clothing store/tobacco shop in Louisville, Kentucky for six months where I would work Monday through Friday, again buying from, actually, in that location probably mostly low level

1.3

2.2.

Joseph Nether - Direct Examination Thursday, June 30, 2022

traffickers as well as users that were trying to sell narcotics, as well. There was only a couple times where high level traffickers or mid level traffickers came to that location.

I've also portrayed myself as a multiple kilo dealer as, I guess, a drug mule to infiltrate drug robbery crews. I've done at least 10 different cases where I've met with robbery crews, that even times we've known these guys to kill people to steal their narcotics, and I would basically discuss with them robbing other dope dealers, drug dealers, and we would arrest those individuals when they were conspiring to rob those drug dealers.

I've participated as the UC on, in the City of Detroit for narcotics crews that had 10-plus members. One was called the Joy Boys Crew, called the 952 Boys where I probably arrested more than 10 individuals for selling directly hand to hand with me crack cocaine.

Those are just some of the bigger cases, and I've had a ton of a lot smaller cases where they're just, you know, meeting with certain individuals that aren't necessarily part of a drug crew or organization, but might be an individual selling.

Q Thank you. I think we've outlined a pretty sufficient basis as far as your general experience. Let me ask you some specifics.

You just mentioned that in one of these positions as a member of the Enhanced Undercover Program, that you were buying mostly from either drug users or low level dealers, is that correct?

A Mostly, yes.

1.3

2.2.

Q What did you learn as to the common purchase or use amounts by a drug user?

A So typically, a drug user is going to purchase approximately -- and this isn't going to be the same every time, but approximately 0.2 grams of crack cocaine which would be considered like one rock. It could be a little bit smaller, could be a little bit bigger. That rock would be about \$20. It could be more depending on where you live, where you're purchasing from, but that's what I learned would typically be a user amount of narcotics.

Heroin would be around the same thing. It could be even a smaller amount.

And then, when it came to drug traffickers, especially when I portrayed a drug trafficker, we would typically start with purchasing maybe 3 grams of crack cocaine, 7 grams of crack cocaine, up to an ounce. So typically my purchases would be somewhere between 3 grams and an ounce when I was trying to portray a drug trafficker.

Q Few things that you mentioned there that I want to dig into. Let's talk about the average use amount first. When you

1 indicate that the average user was purchasing about 0.2 grams,

2 when you have portrayed a user, did you purchase similar

3 amounts?

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

23

24

25

A Yes. I haven't portrayed a user all that often. Just based on my appearance, it is a little more difficult based on what a user typically looks like that I've observed, but when I have portrayed myself as a user, I have purchased as small as

8 one rock of crack cocaine or two rocks of crack cocaine, yes.

Q Based on your own experience as well as your discussions with drug users, why is it that users of crack cocaine only purchase this, maybe, two tenths of a gram amount?

A Well, crack cocaine is very addictive. The high from crack cocaine typically lasts 5 to 15 minutes, and the effects of that high typically make that user want to get that high again. So typical users of crack cocaine have trouble holding down jobs or any responsibility, and they usually spend all of their money on narcotics, and if they can't come up with money, they will trade whatever items they can in exchange for those narcotics. They will steal from family members to obtain those narcotics. So yeah, when it comes to a user, they're trying to

Q You mention that when you were operating in a capacity as a low level dealer, you purchased amounts ranging from 3 grams, 7 grams, to an ounce itself. When you're dealing with something like 3 grams of crack cocaine, is there even specific

get their hands on whatever they can.

1 | terms or vernacular on the street that's used for that amount?

2 A Well, I would call it a ball. So which is like an eighth

of an ounce, and typically an eighth of an ounce is 3.5 grams.

That's when you're dealing with powder cocaine. When you're

5 dealing with crack cocaine, it's 3 grams.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

23

24

25

There's different weights for the different type of narcotic, and it's just based off of the cutting agents and what happens when you actually turn powdered cocaine into crack cocaine. So an ounce of powdered cocaine is 28.3 grams, but if you're purchasing an ounce of cocaine, it's typically about 24 grams for the crack cocaine because a few grams burn off. So yeah, it would typically be about 3 grams, and the terminology would usually be a ball.

- Q In this instance, we have approximately 7.5 grams of crack cocaine. Would there be a type of term or specific way you might request that if you were acting as either a user or a low level dealer?
- A I mean, you would just -- I would just say a quarter, and typically if you say a quarter, they're going to think you're talking about a quarter of an ounce.
- Q You mentioned previously that you had purchased not as often as maybe you might have purchased more weight consistent with, say, a low or mid level dealer, but you had purchased use amounts before.

Based on your experience as an undercover, as

- 1 | well as supervising confidential informants, what's the typical
- 2 price that someone might pay for that .2 grams, or somewhere
- 3 around that amount of crack cocaine?
- 4 A I mean, typically, for a one use of crack cocaine you're
- 5 going to pay anywhere between -- and again, it's regional. It
- 6 could be based on being in the city, the suburbs, but it's
- 7 | going to be anywhere from 10 to \$40. I would say the average
- 8 is \$20.
- 9 Q Fair to say you've operated here in the Detroit area?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Based on your own experience here, what would be the
- 12 | average price of approximately .2 grams?
- 13 **A** \$20.
- 14 Q I want to somewhat as a whole wrap up your experience
- 15 | here. Can you estimate for the Court approximately how many
- 16 undercover controlled purchases you have handled yourself?
- 17 \parallel A Me personally, as the undercover purchasing narcotics, I
- 18 would say easily a couple hundred.
- 19 Q All right.
- 20 | A I mean, I had some operations where I was doing it
- 21 | multiple times a day for months on end. The longest I've been
- 22 | undercover is 11 out of 12 months away from home, so I would
- 23 say a couple hundred times, easily.
- 24 | Q When was the most recent time that you've purchased
- 25 | narcotics yourself?

- 1 A Last time I actually purchased narcotics was in 2018.
- 2 Q As a supervisory agent, are you still involved with the
- 3 | management and outlining of plans of operations by your own
- 4 agents who are currently conducting these types of buys?
- 5 A Yes. For my team, I would be the on-scene commander. I
- 6 would have to review all the operational plans, all the
- 7 | reports, so I have to see what the plan is, and have to approve
- 8 that before we actually go out and do that operation.
- 9 Q In an undercover capacity, how many times, if you can
- 10 estimate for the Court, please, have you sold -- fake drugs, of
- 11 course, but sold in a capacity as a low to mid-level dealer?
- 12 A Well, they thought we were selling it, but we had to
- 13 \parallel arrest them, and that probably happened 10 to 15 times where I
- 14 have sold drugs and arrested the individual at the time of the
- 15 sale.
- 16 Q And when was the most recent time that you've participated
- 17 | in that form of operation?
- 18 \parallel A That was much longer ago, and I would have to
- 19 approximately -- like 2014 maybe.
- 20 Q Thank you. Approximately how many times have you as the
- 21 | agent in charge conducted a controlled purchase of narcotics by
- 22 | a confidential informant?
- 23 | A That would also be a couple hundred. I mean, I had a case
- 24 \parallel last year that I ran as a supervisor, where during one case I
- 25 at least had an informant make 45, approximately 45 purchases

1 of narcotics from individuals. And actually, during that

 $2 \parallel$ occasion, the informant that we were using was never a drug

3 dealer, so I actually had to talk him through how to actually

portray a drug dealer, what amounts to buy, because he just

5 \parallel wasn't comfortable with it. But I mean, that alone was 45

6 times just last year as a supervisor, so I would say at least a

7 couple hundred.

4

- 8 Q These controlled purchases involving criminal informants,
- 9 or confidential informants, rather, were any of them for the
- 10 purchase of crack cocaine?
- 11 A Yes, absolutely.
- 12 | Q Have you ever been involved in the exchange of firearms
- 13 | for drugs?

- 14 A I have.
- 15 Q And you yourself, when operating in an undercover
- 16 capacity, have you ever had to deal with people involved with
- 17 | narcotics trafficking who also were doing this in conjunction
- 18 with possessing a firearm?
- 19 A Most of the time, the individuals that I was purchasing
- 20 off of did possess firearms, not necessarily that I could
- 21 always see on their person, because sometimes those guns would
- 22 \parallel be hidden, but typically by the end of the investigation we
- 23 usually end it with a search warrant or a buy bust, and that's
- 24 when we would find firearms.
 - ATF does not like to get involved in

- investigations that are not related to guns, but most of the time the guys we're dealing with, they typically have firearms
- Q Thank you. You mentioned that during the course of your career you've dealt with, I believe you said hundreds of controlled substance users, is that correct?
 - A Yes.

3

7

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

23

24

25

- 8 Q Based on your personal experience interviewing users of
 9 crack cocaine, debriefing confidential informants involving
 10 crack cocaine buys, is it common for them to be in possession
 11 of large amounts of currency?
- 12 A No.
- Q What's your understanding as to why not?

when they're selling narcotics.

A It's, you know, based on my earlier testimony, as soon as they get money, they're spending it on more drugs, because like I said, crack cocaine, for instance, the high does not last that long, but the effects of it make you want to get that high again, so you're spending all of your money. So every time I've come in possession of users, whether it's typically interviewing them at search warrants or just speaking with them while I was in an undercover capacity at different store fronts and stuff like that, I mean, these are people that don't have money to buy a pack of cigarettes in the stores that I've been in, where sometimes I'm giving it to them for free because they just have nothing.

I've ran into users that just to get narcotics have actually had their teeth pulled. I remember specifically a female that had her entire top row of teeth pulled just so she could go get a prescription for pills so she could go out and use them and sell them. So these are people that literally have nothing in most cases.

Now, I'm sure there's exceptions to that rule, but every single person that I've run into, it's typically the same.

- Q When interacting with folks who have a struggle with the use of crack cocaine, have you found it common that they're in the possession of some form of use paraphernalia?
- A Yes. Even running into a user that does not have narcotics on their person, they typically always have either a crack pipe, if crack is their drug of choice, they'll have a needle if heroin is their drug of choice, again, a char boy if crack is their drug of choice.

So typically, they're always going to have the paraphernalia associated with the drugs they're doing. They're typically not going to leave the house, just like you wouldn't leave the house without your wallet.

Q Want to transition now to the other drug involved in this case, which is powdered cocaine. You've reviewed the materials associated with this, is that fair?

THE COURT: Mr. Smith, how long do you anticipate

1.3

2.2.

this is going to go?

1.3

2.2.

MR. SMITH: I would say my questioning, Your

Honor, would be maybe another 10 to 15 minutes. And I beg

the Court's pardon, I thought Ms. Mannarino's request was

for just this, to establish his experience and training

and be able to portray to the Court his expertise.

THE COURT: I've got another matter at 11:30. I can push that off to 12:00, but we've got to be done here by 12:00.

MR. SMITH: I will finish quickly, Your Honor.

MS. MANNARINO: Judge, I'm prepared to make a motion at this point that I appreciate the agent's experience, but this doesn't have anything to do with this case, and this is not anything that is not within the purview of the jury to decide. So, you know, bringing in an expert under these circumstances to tell the jury something that is within their purview to know and understand, I think is inappropriate. You know, this is not an area for expert testimony. The jury knows and understands.

THE COURT: Why don't you just make a proffer,
Mr. Smith, of what the testimony would be, and then we'll
have Ms. Mannarino make her motion.

MR. SMITH: Certainly, Your Honor.

THE COURT: We've still got the 404(b) one to

discuss, as well.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2.

23

24

25

MR. SMITH: Absolutely, Judge. Would you like to excuse the witness now?

THE COURT: Yes. You may be excused.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.

(Witness excused 10:56 a.m.)

MR. SMITH: May I proceed?

THE COURT: You may.

MR. SMITH: Your Honor, as the testimony would proceed, the government proffers that Special Agent Nether would continue to outline for the Court that, where I was going to specifically there, the powder cocaine itself, one and-a-half grams, could arguably be for use amounts. However, we were then going to transition into the collective here, which is the one and-a-half grams of powered cocaine, the seven and-a-half grams of crack cocaine, the fact that it was individually packaged, that it was concealed in conjunction with a firearm, that those items were concealed specifically in Mr. Roberson's groin area, and that, also, Mr. Roberson had over a thousand dollars of U.S. currency on him. Approximately \$600 of that was in \$20 bills.

Special Agent Nether was then going to outline that for the Court, and the reason why that's important, Judge, is because the average juror, as the Sixth Circuit

1.3

2.2.

Joseph Nether - Direct Examination Thursday, June 30, 2022

indicates, and contrary to what Ms. Mannarino says, does not have this knowledge or experience. It's outside of the ken of the average juror; narcotics trafficking.

That's why the Sixth Circuit specifically has indicated that drug trafficking experts are the type of expert that has been overwhelmingly used in cases like this, and it's because the average juror does not know about, two tenths of a gram are use amounts for crack cocaine versus seven and—a—half grams here that's one large chunk, that's consistent with low to mid—level dealing.

So that is where Special Agent Nether's testimony would go, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.

Ms. Mannarino.

MS. MANNARINO: Again, I understand what the prosecution is trying to do. What they're trying to do is, you know, convince a jury that this is something other than what it is, and I think the case law, and I'm not going to go through it, it's all in my motion, I trust the Court has read it, I think the case law supports the fact that this is exactly the type of information that is — let me find — where any probative value is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice and will lead the jury to speculate and thus mislead the trier of fact. Testimony as to such matters is not beyond the ken of the

jury and thus are not appropriate subject of expert testimony.

THE COURT: Mr. Smith.

1.3

2.1

2.2.

MR. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor.

Your Honor, I would just outline briefly for the Court the analysis under 702; specifically, when you're talking about specialized knowledge such as what Special Agent Nether has.

First, is the expert qualified? I don't think there's anything in dispute here about his qualifications. Second is whether the proposed testimony is relevant. And third is whether the proposed testimony is reliable. The Sixth Circuit has indicated both — a yes to both of those questions.

Counsel mentions the case law. I would note that in counsel's brief, she relies on two cases from the Second Circuit, one case from the Eighth Circuit. All three of them are 1991 or earlier, whereas the case that the government relies on is Sixth Circuit case law from the 2000s and the 1990s.

Judge, this is the type of case that an expert is absolutely necessary. That's why this circuit has held that it's overwhelmingly admitted, because someone like Special Agent Nether is able to tell 12 average members from our community why 7.5 grams is more consistent with a

1.3

2.1

2.2

Joseph Nether - Direct Examination Thursday, June 30, 2022

low to mid-level dealer, as to someone who has a crack cocaine use habit, why two stimulants packaged together is different than maybe one hit of the drug by an average user.

This isn't something that is going to mislead a jury. This testimony is actually going to illuminate all of the details and the issues for the jury. That's why the Sixth Circuit has found this to be reliable and relevant.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Mannarino.

MS. MANNARINO: In his experience, working in certain areas, doing certain things, he is — he's not familiar with any users who are not desperate enough to have their teeth pulled. I mean, that type of testimony is outrageous for the jury. He has no familiarity with any of the studies. I understand and I appreciate his experience on the streets of the City of Detroit, and who he has encountered and why he and how he has encountered them. That's who he is going after for his confidential informants, he's going after desperate people.

He doesn't have any experience and contact with the over 50 percent of the users who are full-time employees and work. He doesn't have that kind of experience. His experience is all with, you know, people

Joseph Nether - Direct Examination Thursday, June 30, 2022 1 he -- he couldn't even pass as a drug user because he 2 looks too good. He doesn't have any experience with, like 3 I said, the over 50 percent of drug users who are 4 full-time employed people. 5 THE COURT: This is a slightly different argument 6 than the one you make in your brief. The one you make in 7 your brief is that this area is an area that the jury can 8 access based on their own experience, not that Agent 9 Nether's experience and testimony is outside the factual 10 purview of this case. 11 I'm going to take this under advisement and get 12 something back to you next week. 1.3 MS. MANNARINO: Thank you, Judge. 14 THE COURT: Thank you. 15 And the 404(b)? MS. MANNARINO: I will rely on my motion and 16 17 brief. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Smith, do you want to say 19 anything? 20 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, I think the only thing I 21 would outline is, again, the analysis sufficiently 2.2 occurred without any doubt. Mr. Roberson pled quilty to 23 these prior offenses. Is it for a proper purpose? Yes

Case No. 21-CR-20285

I think what I would outline for the Court is,

24

25

the intent.

again, Ms. Mannarino relied heavily on a Seventh Circuit case where it talked about intent was not at issue in that case and therefore it should not be admissible evidence. But I'd like to highlight, in that case the defendant declined any knowledge or possession of those drugs entirely, and that specifically outlined that if the defendant had said, well, they are mine, I just intended to use them versus sell them, then intent would absolutely be at issue, and that's exactly what we have here is that intent is at issue because Mr. Roberson is arguing that it's for personal use, and, of course, the government is arguing that it's an intent to sell, Your Honor.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. As with the first one, I'll take it under advisement. I'll get something out to you next week at the same time I rule on the exclusion of expert testimony, the Daubert motion.

Thank you, counsel.

MR. SMITH: Thank you.

MS. MANNARINO: Thank you.

(Proceedings concluded 11:04 a.m.)

2.2

1.3

CERTIFICATION I, Suzanne Jacques, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division, hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript of the proceedings in the above-entitled cause on the date set forth. s/Suzanne Jacques 9/27/2022 Suzanne Jacques, RPR, RMR, CRR, FCRR Date Official Court Reporter Eastern District of Michigan

Case No. 21-CR-20285